



Scenario Performance Scoring Process

EVALUATION

The International Conference Scenario Performance champions exhibit the best application of Future Problem Solving skills to their Performances. Strong Performers will entertain and inform listeners with their imaginings about possible futures of the [IC topic](#). Evaluations are based on storytelling technique, audience awareness, use of voice, development of story, characterization, creative and futuristic thinking, and connection to topic. Scenario Performances are evaluated, and placement is determined based on total points, rank, and quality term.

FPSPi's mission is to develop the ability of young people globally to design and achieve positive futures through problem solving using critical and creative thinking. FPSPi seeks to provide all participants with a positive experience with other people interested in problem solving for a better future.

Scoring is undertaken in accordance with the official Evaluation Guidelines. All Evaluators at the International Conference have completed a certification process after experience with an Affiliate Program. FPSPi evaluation utilizes possible point ranges (with expectations) for corresponding point ranges (see the [Evaluation Guidelines](#)). While there are guidelines and descriptions of expectations, FPSPi evaluation is based on subjective scoring. Because there is no single "right" answer in FPS, varying interpretations of student work are possible. It is the decision of each evaluator to determine the points that will be awarded for each section of a score sheet. Each competitive submission receives multiple evaluations, and award winners go through multiple rounds of evaluations.

ROUND 1

- Each live Performance receives two evaluations.
- Total points for each Performance are used by the evaluator to determine the Performance's rank.
- Points, ranks, and quality terms from both evaluations are used to create a composite score. Values are assigned to a set range of points, ranks, and quality terms. This allows all three components to be considered equally to determine advancing Performances.
- The top performances, in each division, based on the composite score, advance to the Final Round.

FINAL ROUND

- Each student advanced to the Final Round will perform again, and receive three additional evaluations, from evaluators that have not previously evaluated the Performers.
- Final Round evaluations are determined independent of Round 1.
- Points, ranks, and quality terms from all three evaluations are used to create a composite score. Values are assigned to a set range of points, ranks, and quality terms. This allows all three components to be considered equally to determine awards.

AWARDS

Awards are given for top Scenario Performances by division, and will be announced during the Award Ceremony. Following the conclusion of the Award Ceremony, score sheets will be available in FPSOnline.

- 1st – 3rd place Performers in each division are recognized at the Award Ceremony.